

Recommendation from the assessment committee - thesis

PhD candidate	
----------------------	--

Members of the Assessment Committee	
Chair	
First opponent	
Second opponent	

PhD thesis			
English title			
Norwegian title			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Worthy of defence	<input type="checkbox"/>	The decision is unanimous
<input type="checkbox"/>	Worthy of defence. The dissertation or the scientific work has minor shortcomings that should be corrected before the defence. The candidate should normally be able to do this within three months	<input type="checkbox"/>	The decision is unanimous
<input type="checkbox"/>	Not worthy of defence	<input type="checkbox"/>	The decision is unanimous

The committee's assessment of the thesis	
<p><i>The evaluation should include:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Summarizing the articles (or main chapters) of the thesis • The scientific standard of the thesis (strength and weaknesses) and the quality of the thesis (outline, depiction, general impression, level within an international setting) • The candidate's perspective on the research area and his/her ability to view own research in a greater context • If possible, discussing each article/chapter • Other comments (any dissents in the committee should be mentioned here) 	

Signatures	Date
Chair	
First opponent	
Second opponent	